Fuzzy Logic Assisted Diagnosis for Atherogenesis Risk

Ana L. Alonso* Oscar A. Rosas-Jaimes** Juan A. Suárez-Cuenca***

* Departamento de Asesoría Científica, Amplibio, S.A. de C.V., D.F., México (e-mail: analucia@amplibio.com).
** Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México (e-mail: oscar.rosasjaimes@yahoo.com).
*** División de Investigación Clínica, CMN “20 de Noviembre” ISSSTE, DF (e-mail: suarecj05@gmail.com)

Abstract: A fuzzy inference system (FIS) that aids in atherogenesis risk diagnosis is described in this document, taken as point of start data of human lipd levels. This FIS uses Total Cholesterol, Low-Density Lipoproteins, Atherogenic Index and Triglycerides as variables in order to propose a diagnosis method to help in low-cost early detection of atherogenesis risk, in strict agreement to medical convention.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Metabolic syndrome (MS) is a relatively common disorder as a major risk factor for atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. Hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity are included as part of MS definition. Atherosclerosis is a chronic disease associated to hyperlipidemia, characterized by a lipid unbalance where the vascular intimate thickness increases, constituted by fat cumulation and conjunctive tissue. This phenomenon stays asymptomatic during many years. When arterial obstruction manifestations (myocardial infarct, gangrene, embolism) get present, consequences are mostly irreversible.

Currently, most of the medical professionals agree that main serum lipids can be divided in the intervals shown in table 1, where TC stands for Total Cholesterol, LDL for Low-Density Lipoproteins, AI for Atherogenesis Index and TG for Triglycerides.

It has been found by 30-year USA trends analyses that variables in table 1 have a stronger function and should be taken into account to make accurate health diagnosis in any patient related to atherosclerosis or other similar illnesses (Cohen et al. (2010)).

Table 1. Optimal, Borderline, High-Risk and Very High-Risk Serum Lipid Concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Optimal</th>
<th>Borderline</th>
<th>High-Risk</th>
<th>Very High-Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>200 – 240</td>
<td>&gt; 240</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>130 – 160</td>
<td>&gt; 160</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>≤ 4.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt; 4.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>&lt; 150</td>
<td>150 – 200</td>
<td>200 – 500</td>
<td>&gt; 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. DIAGNOSIS GENERALITIES OF AHERGENESIS RISK

In human plasma, cholesteryl esters and triglycerides can exchange between various lipoprotein fractions through the Cholesteryl Ester Transfer Protein (Lagrost (1994); Tall (1995); Ritsch et al. (2010)). Exchange is a key player in the metabolic interaction amid high density lipoprotein (HDL) particles, triglyceride-rich (TG) lipoproteins, very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL) and low-density lipoproteins (LDL).

In individuals with type-2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome and combined dyslipidemia, cardiovascular risk is increased by a clustering of risk factors such as abdominal obesity, impaired fasting glucose, high blood pressure, low HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels, increased triglycerides (TG), and high levels of small dense LDL particles (Dobiášová (2004)).

On the other hand, since 1993, health national institutes meet in the Consensus Development Conference in order to evaluate the role of triglycerides in cardiovascular risk assessment (NIH Panel (1993)). However the extent to which triglycerides directly promote cardiovascular disease or represent a risk biomarker has been debated for 3 decades (Miller et al. (2011)).

The role of TG as regulators of lipoprotein interactions is backed by the evidence related to their increase in plasma, associated directly to a high incidence of coronary artery disease, an increased population of small dense LDL, and an enhanced cholesteryl ester mass transfer from HDL to apolipoprotein B containing lipoproteins. TG have also been proposed to be a major determinant of cholesterol esterification/transfer and HDL remodeling in human plasma (Dobiášová (2004)). The ratio of TG to HDL-C ratio correlates with the plasma level of small dense LDL particles.
Although the diagnosis currently employed permits some certainty, we can only speak of an elevated clinical suspicion of atherosclerosis risk. Without a trustworthy understanding of factors intervening in atherogenesis and its clinical manifestations, it is not possible to establish a totally clear relationship with many parameters considered as risk factors.

3. FUZZY INFERENCE SYSTEM DESIGN

A fuzzy inference system (FIS) can be designed to emulate to some extent how physicians use data and knowledge to elaborate diagnosis (Hudson and Cohen (1994); Nguyen et al. (2001)). This systems and other hybrids, as neurofuzzy systems (Serhatlioglu et al. (2003)), have been developed for different uses as well as medical applications. However, in many cases they have been used to analyze images or as classifiers (Choi and Krishnapuram (1995); Udupa et al. (1997)). The number of FIS designed to aid diagnosis based on clinical knowledge and analysis is still low (Gorzalczany and McLeish (1992); Wong et al. (2004)).

In this work, we use a fuzzy inference system (Fig. 1) based on rules to determine the state of risk of atherogenesis, in individuals who have had a blood clinical analysis. This FIS has been designed following criteria in which the number, name and shape of membership functions used, along with the inference rules and the way in which results are obtained, emulate the reasoning of a physician.

The same set of four variables shown in Table 1 has been picked up to design such a FIS: Total Cholesterol \( TC \), Low-Density Lipids \( LDL \), Atherogenesis Index \( AI \) and Triglycerides \( TG \).

Fig. 2 depicts membership functions for each variable’s universe of discourse and (1) shows the mathematical definition for Total Cholesterol \( TC \) fuzzification as an example of what has been done with the other variables.

\[
\mu_{TC_{\text{best}}}(TC) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } TC < 200 \\
\frac{240 - TC}{240 - 200} & \text{if } 200 \leq TC \leq 240 \\
0 & \text{if } TC > 240 
\end{cases}
\]

For this FIS, it was determined that all 4 variables should count for any possible situation. The total possible number of combinations resulting from all the membership functions of these fuzzified variables is equal to the maximum
number of inference rules that can be obtained, i.e. $2 \times 2 \times 2 = 24$.

$$
\mu_m(CT, LDL, AI, TG; R) = 
= \min \left[ (\mu_1(CT) \land \mu_2(LDL) \land \mu_b(AI) \land \mu_b(TG)) : \mu_p(R) \right]
$$

But elaborating the set of rules merely by such a purely combinatorial way would result in an imprecise design for this FIS assisting medical diagnosis. The reason is that some combinations are impossible to achieve in reality, due to the metabolism. It is never watched that a situation where simultaneously a very high level of TC appears with very low LDL levels together in a human individual. Fuzzy rules must be composed with close medical assistance. Every rule is the result of discussions among medical and mathematical specialists for this system.

This system’s output is Risk $R$, a qualification that spans from 0 to 100. Fig. 3 depicts membership function shapes designed for this variable. It is possible to realize that four of this membership functions relate directly with what can be established as a risk condition to a patient being diagnosed. A fourth membership function relates with a singleton denoted as “not-observed”, defined for instance as in (6)

\[
\mu_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \leq \text{threshold}_i \\ 0 & x > \text{threshold}_i \end{cases}
\]

where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Different fuzzy values $\mu_m$ are the result to apply each of the different inference rules to the $CT, LDL, AI$ and $TG$ values affecting the consequent $R$. Fig. 4 shows an example of aggregation of two shot rules and the resultant Risk area, following a Mandani-type operation, where rule shooting is governed by minimum operator.

Once the shootings are performed, all of them are combined through

\[
\mu_S(R) = \max \{ \mu_1(R), \mu_2(R), \ldots, \mu_n(R) \}
\]

where $n$ is the total number of rules and $\mu_S$ is the fuzzy state for Risk $R$.

To translate this aggregated set into a crisp number that represents the diagnosis through a qualification by $R$, we propose a defuzzification method that we identify as the “mean point of absolute maximum”, mathematically expressed by (4)

$$
R_o = \frac{\min\{u \in N^+(A_i)\} + \max\{u \in N^+(A_i)\}}{2}
$$

where the top values reached for every single membership function is obtained by (5)

\[
N(A_i) = \{ x \in X | \mu(x) = \max \{ \mu(A_i, x) \} \}
\]

being $N^+$ the larger value, defined for instance as in (6)

\[
N^+(A_i) = \max \{ \mu(N(A_i)) \}
\]

The “mean point of absolute maximum” defuzzification method proposed here is graphically depicted in Fig. 5.

It is not the centroid method that is commonly used in many similar systems, because it has the disadvantage of not reaching the extremes of the $R$ variable in the way we have designed it. Besides, this proposed method discriminates portions of resultant areas of the aggregation, stressing the highest with the intention to enforce the membership function with such a value and making clearer the diagnosis obtained.

4. RESULTS

The FIS presented here can be interpreted as an $R^4 \to R$ mapping or more precisely as a function of the form $R = R(CT, LDL, AI, TG)$. As an example of its direct application, Table 2 contains results when applied to ten individuals. Each row includes values for Total Cholesterol $TC$, Low-Density Lipids $LDL$, Atherogenic Index $AI$ and Triglycerids $TG$ that result from the blood plasma analysis made to patients. The last column shows Risk $R$ evaluated for the inference system.

According to those membership functions shown in Fig. 3, one person can then be qualified definitely as “NoRisk”, other two patients as “Low Risk” and “High Risk” respectively, and other two of them as “Very High Risk”. However, the rest are rather a transition between two adjoint sets, which can be useful in regarding the possible transient that a clinical history of such cases could mean.

Table 2. $TC$, $LDL$, $AI$, $TG$ values for ten different individuals subject to blood test. The last column shows the Risk $R$ level calculated by the FIS designed

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
TC & LDL & AI & TG & \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{R} \\
\hline
(mg/dl) & (mg/dl) & [0, 8.4] & (0, 1000) & [1, 100] \\
\hline
200 & 102.3 & 4.5 & 164 & 21.3 \\
247 & 139.5 & 5.3 & 384 & 91.2 \\
183 & 109.4 & 4.3 & 158 & 16.8 \\
212 & 130.1 & 4.0 & 165 & 33.9 \\
242 & 120.4 & 5.1 & 169 & 70.0 \\
212 & 127.3 & 4.8 & 300 & 64.8 \\
167 & 93.1 & 4.7 & 600 & 83.5 \\
171 & 84.4 & 2.6 & 400 & 39.8 \\
320 & 118.0 & 8.1 & 600 & 94.9 \\
143 & 56.5 & 2.3 & 120 & 5.14 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Graphical interpretations spanning for Risk $R$ can be achieved if two of the antecedent variables are used in order to elaborate an $R^2 \to R$ mapping. For example, $R = R(CT, TG)$ have the graphical representation observed in Fig. 6, i.e. it is the resulting surface when $TC$ and $TG$
are left as independent variables and LDL and AI are set fixed at \( LDL = 128 \text{ mg/dl} \) and \( AI = 4.5 \).

Deepest regions, in darkest color, correspond to those trends to values of \( R = -2 \), where related values have no congruency in real situations, as mentioned in Section 3.

Other two-independent-variable combinations are possible and their respective visualizations. However, even though Fig. 6 is useful, to obtain a complete diagnosis it is necessary to take into account the whole set of four variables, establishing relations among all of them simultaneously.

This result is expected. Classification performed is a consequence of the medical criteria that has been translated to the membership functions, rules and operations carried out. However, it is not only a classification what can be reached. As Table 2 shows, the objective of emulate a medical diagnosis based purely in four strongly related lipid variables is possible.

5. CONCLUSION

Fuzzy inference systems (FIS) have been used since many years ago due to they are relatively easy to design and to program. The application fields in which it is possible to find them is very broad, and the medical practice has been involved with this tool. The very delicate and laborious part in the design of almost all those possible FIS is the selection of variables that constitute the antecedent, where input information is entered and processed, if the objective is to approximate the outcome to the most real values possible.

In this case, variables selected are those defined by medical convention, with accepted values following the most strict consensus. In a similar way, rules have been composed after discussion sessions among those of us that performed the programming of the FIS and those whose expertise is in the medical field. By these two strong characteristics it is possible to say that expert knowledge has been introduce in the FIS presented here.

Results obtained back up those statements, due to the values obtained for the output value Risk \( R \) is in accordance with those results that could be achieved by a physician, if only takes into account that set of four lipid variables. Even though a system like that presented here has not the intention to substitute any real expert, it does constitute a valuable aid to perform a previous and partial diagnosis for any patient.

For the obtention of the output value Risk \( R \), it was necessary to defined a defuzzification method named the...
“mean point of absolute maximum”. It has as a main advantage that the whole range of values for that output variable are covered, unlike other methods like centroid, for example.

Another feature that was designed into this method is that it identifies portions of resultant surfaces of the aggregation, stressing the highest and making clearer the diagnostic obtained.
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